

Comment Set C.167: Ron and Sherry Howell

----- Forwarded by Marian Kadota/R5/USDAFS on 10/03/2006 11:12 AM -----

Sherry Howell <howellassoc@earthlink.net>

10/03/2006 11:01AM

To

John Boccio <jbx@cpuc.ca.gov>, Marian Kadota <mkadota@fs.fed.us>, Jody Noiron <jnoiron@fs.fed.us>, Honorable Julie Halligan <jmh@cpuc.ca.gov>

cc

Ron Howell <gorilla@rglobal.net>

Subject

Antelope-Pardee 500 kv (Segment 1)

Dear Mr. Boccio, Ms Kadota, Ms. Noiron & Honorable Julie Halligan:

In the Matter of the Application of Southern California Edison Company (U-338-E) for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity Concerning the Antelope-Pardee 500 kV (Segment 1) Transmission Project as Required by Decision 04-06-010 and as Modified by Subsequent Assigned Commissioner Ruling.

As part of our case against Alternative 2, we adopt all applicable harmful issues to us as outlined in JACKSON, DEMARCO, TIDUS & PECKENPAUGH letter to you on October 2, 2006. While their letter primarily addresses Alternate 5, the same failures, shortcomings and damages impact our 36917 Bouquet Canyon property should Alternative 2 be adopted. And for additional those reasons, we are opposed to Alternative 2

However, Item 2. Background, line 13 on page 4 is erroneous in that Alternative 2 does require establishing new rights of way to accomplish construction of new transmission lines. Alternative 2 travels through existing residences, agricultural lands, and proposed 50 to 100 homes as well as previously undisturbed lands. The property and existing homes it negatively would impact is estimated to be valued at a minimum of 15 million dollars. It also creates a potential hazard for fire-fighting aircraft. Additionally, Alternative 2's new, not-currently-existing, mid-slope route appears to damage beautiful, scenic, winding, creek-side Bouquet Canyon Road with its trees, fishing, and campgrounds.

In summary, we adopt JDT&P's notification (attached) of failures, shortcomings and negative impact on our property where applicable; but reject all their references to Alternate 2 throughout their document (attached) as incorrect.

Regards,

Ron & Sherry Howell
36917 Bouquet canyon Road
Santa Clarita CA 01390
661-270-1519

(See attached file:

IRVLIB-#662853-v1-comments_to_CPUC_Forest_Service_re_DEIR_DEIS.DOC)

C.167-1

Response to Comment Set C.167: Ron and Sherry Howell

C.167-1 As described in Draft EIS/EIR Section B.4.2, Alternative 2 is a modification of the proposed Project route through NFS Lands. The remaining segment of the Alternative 2 route would be identical to the proposed Project. The environmental impacts associated with Alternative 2 is included within the Draft EIS/EIR.